

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/757,006	01/08/2001	Freddie Geier	P2648-719	7086	
7590 02/11/2004			EXAMINER		
Jame W. Peterson			ARSHAD, UMAR		
BURNS, DOAN	NE, SWECKER & MATH	IS, L.L.P.			
P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA	22313-1404			1	
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6			_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/			
	09/757,006	GEIER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	_			
	Umar Arshad	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondenc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 D</u>	ecember 2003.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 47-74 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 47-74 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	,				
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 LLS C & 119/	a)_(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Applicate rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 (st sentence of the specification of povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. o and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to Amendment C, filed 12/5/2003.

Claims 47 – 74 are pending in this application. Claims 47, 56 and 66 are independent claims. In the Amendment C, claims 47 – 74 were added and claims 1 – 46 were cancelled. This action is made Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 47 - 53, 55 - 62, and 64 - 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Slivka et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,061,695.

As per claim 47, Slivka et al. ("Slivka") teaches a graphical user interface for creating recordable media with a computer from media files, the user interface comprising:

a window frame defining a pane having a common theme (see Slivka, figure 7, item 170, and column 3, lines 55 – 67, and column 19, lines 20 – 29; the examiner interprets a template as a common theme because it describes the settings for the user interface elements of a window);

a plurality of control buttons displayed on the frame (see Slivka, figure 7, item 172); and

a selectable icon indicating the common theme and correlated to a respective media file such that the selectable icon indicates the media file to be recorded onto the recordable media (see Slivka, figure 7, item 180, column 3, lines 62 – 66, column 11, lines 2 – 3 and column 19, line 66 – column 20, line 7; the examiner interprets graphical icons in a folder view as selectable icons indicating a media file and it is taught that the template provides graphical icons in a folder view, therefore it is inherent that the icons displayed in figure 7, item 180 are representative of the template and therefore indicate the theme. It is also inherent that the selectable icons displayed indicate the media file to be recorded onto a recordable media because it is taught that user interface operations of the Windows@ 95 operating system are available in the folder views, and the Windows@ 95 operating system has features to allow the drag and drop of media file icons onto recordable mediums).

As per claim 48, which is dependent on claim 47, Slivka teaches the graphical

user interface of claim 47 (see rejection above). Slivka further teaches the graphical user interface of claim 47 further comprising a status indicator displayed on the pane (see Slivka, figure 7, item 176, and column 19, lines 56 – 59; the examiner interprets a title banner as a status indicator because it displays the name of the folder represented in the folder view).

As per claim 49, which is dependent on claim 47, Slivka teaches the graphical user interface of claim 47 (see rejection above). Slivka further teaches the graphical user interface of claim 47 further comprising an application window having the media files (see Slivka, figure 7, item 172) and wherein the media file is displayed as a selectable icon (see Slivka, figure 7, item 180) by dragging and dropping the media file from the application window to the pane (see Slivka, column 19, line 66 – column 20, line 7; it is inherent that the selectable icons displayed indicate a media file and it is taught that icon drag and drop user interface operations of the Windows® 95 operating system are available in the folder views).

As per claim 50, which is dependent on claim 47, Slivka teaches the graphical user interface of claim 47 (see rejection above). Slivka further teaches the graphical user interface of claim 47 further comprising a title for each selectable icon, the title corresponding to a respective media file (see Slivka, figure 7, item 180).

As per claim 51, which is dependent on claim 47, Slivka teaches the graphical

user interface of claim 47 (see rejection above). Slivka further discloses the graphical user interface of claim 47 wherein the selectable icon comprises a graphic image of the respective media file (see Slivka, column 2, lines 28 – 32).

As per claim 52, which is dependent on claim 47, Slivka teaches the graphical user interface of claim 47 (see rejection above). Slivka further teaches the graphical user interface of claim 47 wherein the selectable icon is configured to initiate playback of the media file when selected (see Slivka, column 19, line 66 – column 20, line 7; it is inherent that Windows© 95 user interface operations include playback of a file with its associated application program once initiated).

As per claim 53, which is dependent on claim 47, Slivka teaches the graphical user interface of claim 47 (see rejection above). Slivka further teaches the graphical user interface of claim 47 wherein the theme is user definable (see Slivka, column 7, lines 47 – 51).

As per claim 55, which is dependent on claim 47, Slivka teaches the graphical user interface of claim 47 (see rejection above). Slivka further teaches the graphical user interface of claim 47 wherein the recordable media is selected from the group consisting of:

a digital versatile disc (DVD);

a digital versatile disc recordable (DVDR);

a compact disc recordable (CDR); and

a computer readable removable medium (see Slivka, column 5, lines 15 – 19).

As per claim 56, it is of similar scope to claim 47 and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 47 (see rejection above).

As per claim 57, which is dependent on claim 56, it is of similar scope to claim 48 and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 48 (see rejection above).

As per claim 58, which is dependent on claim 56, it is of similar scope to claim 49 and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 49 (see rejection above).

As per claim 59, which is dependent on claim 56, it is of similar scope to claim 50 and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 50 (see rejection above).

As per claim 60, which is dependent on claim 56, it is of similar scope to claim 51 and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 51 (see rejection above).

As per claim 61, which is dependent on claim 56, it is of similar scope to claim 52 and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 52 (see rejection above).

As per claim 62, which is dependent on claim 56, it is of similar scope to claim 53

Application/Control Number: 09/757,006

Art Unit: 2174

and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 53 (see rejection above).

As per claim 64, which is dependent on claim 56, Slivka teaches the graphical user interface of claim 56 (see rejection above). Slivka further teaches the computer readable medium of claim 56 further comprising instructions for recording the media files onto the recordable media (see Slivka, column 5, lines 15 – 19, and column 19, line 66 – column 20, line 7; it is inherent that the media files are stored on the secondary medium).

Page 7

As per claim 65, which is dependent on claim 64, it is of similar scope to claim 55 and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 55 (see rejection above).

As per claim 66, it is of similar scope to claim 47 and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 47 (see rejection above).

As per claim 67, which is dependent on claim 66, it is of similar scope to claim 48 and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 48 (see rejection above).

As per claim 68, which is dependent on claim 66, it is of similar scope to claim 49 and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 49 (see rejection above).

As per claim 69, which is dependent on claim 66, it is of similar scope to claim 50

Application/Control Number: 09/757,006 Page 8

Art Unit: 2174

and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 50 (see rejection above).

As per claim 70, which is dependent on claim 66, it is of similar scope to claim 51 and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 51 (see rejection above).

As per claim 71, which is dependent on claim 66, it is of similar scope to claim 51 and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 51 (see rejection above).

As per claim 72, which is dependent on claim 66, it is of similar scope to claim 53 and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 53 (see rejection above).

As per claim 73, which is dependent on claim 66, it is of similar scope to claim 64 and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 64 (see rejection above).

As per claim 74, which is dependent on claim 73, it is of similar scope to claim 55 and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 55 (see rejection above).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 54 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slivka et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,061,695 in view of Johnston, Jr. et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,959,624.

As per claim 54, which is dependent on claim 47, Slivka teaches the graphical user interface of claim 47 (see rejection above). Slivka further teaches the graphical user interface of claim 47 wherein the theme comprises backgrounds to be displayed in the pane (see Slivka, column 4, lines 16 – 20), selectable icon shapes (see Slivka, column 19, lines 48 – 52; the examiner interprets large icons and small icons as icon shapes), and fonts (see Slivka, column 19, lines 56 – 59). Slivka does not teach wherein said theme comprises arrangement information for said selectable icons. Johnston, Jr. et al. ("Johnston") teaches a theme comprising arrangement information for selectable icons (see Johnston figures 2C; the option to choose a "straight grid" arrangement or a "staggered grid" arrangement for icon views is taught). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the graphical user interface taught by Slivka with the method taught by Johnston to allow application designers and application users to have additional flexibility and greater control over the appearance and behavior of desktop objects and individual controls for those objects.

As per claim 63, which is dependent on claim 56, it is of similar scope to claim 54 and is rejected under the same rationale as claim 54 (see rejection above).

Application/Control Number: 09/757,006 Page 10

Art Unit: 2174

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Umar Arshad whose telephone number is (703) 305-0329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L Kincaid can be reached on (703) 308-0640. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

UA

